## **Request for Review of Library Materials**



Eligibility rules for administrative consideration:

- 1. Complaints and requests for review of library materials must be first presented to the Library Director for discussion and written response.
- 2. Requestor must be an adult resident in Baker County, Oregon and registered BCLD card holder.
- 3. Requests from groups or organizations will not be considered.
- 4. Each form can only be used for a single title at a time.
- 5. A maximum of ONE Request per month per person will be considered. Requests will be dismissed if submitted within 30 days of a prior request.
- 6. A fee may be required for consideration of more than 3 requests in 12 months.
- 7. Materials reviewed and retained are exempt from reconsideration again for a period of 3 years. See library staff or website for a history of challenges.
- 8. All questions require responses. Incomplete requests may be denied if deficiencies are not corrected within 30 days after notification.

Library card #		State		
Author FORMAT of the material you accessed (check all that apply): Digital Book/Audiobook Magazine/Newspaper Video Music C REVIEW AND CHECK APPLICABLE BOXES: I have reviewed and understand the district's <i>Collection Development Policy</i> . * I have attempted to resolve my complaint informally with the Library Director,			Zip Code	
Title of Library MaterialAuthorAuthor FORMAT of the material you accessed (check all that apply): Digital Book/Audiobook OMagazine/Newspaper Video Music O REVIEW AND CHECK APPLICABLE BOXES: I have reviewed and understand the district's <i>Collection Development Policy</i> . * I have attempted to resolve my complaint informally with the Library Director,	phone	Email		
<ul> <li>Book/Audiobook  Gamma Magazine/Newspaper  Gamma Video  Gamma Music  Gamma Gam</li></ul>	ary card #			
<ul> <li>I have reviewed and understand the district's <i>Collection Development Policy</i>.</li> <li>I have attempted to resolve my complaint informally with the Library Director,</li> </ul>	or MAT of the material you acce	essed (check all that ap	oply): 🗆 Digital	Physical
<ul> <li>I have attempted to resolve my complaint informally with the Library Director,</li> </ul>	EW AND CHECK APPLICABLE	E BOXES:		
	I have reviewed and understand	d the district's Collection	Development Policy. *REQU	IRED
local administrative level. <b>*REQUIRED</b>		as verification of my effo	•	-
I understand that while my contact information is kept confidential, my name a may be released at the discretion of the library. *REQUIRED	local administrative level. *REC			

2400 Resort St • Baker City, OR 97814 • 541-523-6419 • www.bakerlib.org

## Please attach additional pages as needed to address the following questions (All required):

1. Why are you requesting review of this library material? Please be as specific as possible about the parts that cause you concern.

- 2. Did you read/view/listen to the entire material? Yes No
  IF NO, what percentage did you read/view/listen?
  Only the passages/pages reported
  Less than 25%
  about 50%
  About 75%
  More than 75%
- 3. What do you believe is the overall message intended by the creator(s) of this material?

4. What action(s) do you recommend the library consider taking regarding this material?

5. What alternate material representing the viewpoint of this material in a "better way" would you recommend the library include in its collection?

6. What originally prompted you to look at and/or borrow the material from the library?

7. Do you attest that you read/viewed/or listened to this material voluntarily?
 Pres
 No

**IF NO**, please describe why.

- 8. What reviews, critiques, or other resources evaluating this material would you recommend the library consider in its reassessment?
- 9. Which audience collection(s) would you recommend this item be grouped in? (Check all that apply)
  - □ Adults 18+ □ Young Adults 13 17 □ Children 0 12
  - None
    Other \_\_\_\_\_
- 10. Do you believe this material violates library policy or federal, state, or local law?• Yes• No• Unsure
  - **IF YES**, please cite the specific policy and/or statute(s).

11. Has reading, listening, or viewing this material directly caused you, or one of your family members, personal or financial harm?
Yes
No
Unsure IF YES, please describe. Be as specific as possible to show a clear connection between the alleged harm and the library material.

12. If an adult library patron disagrees with your viewpoint, why should they accept the action(s) you are recommending?

13. Do you agree with all of the following principles?

Reading is a foundational skill, critical to future learning and to exercising our democratic freedoms.

□ Yes □ No

Books are tools for understanding complex issues. Limiting young people's access to books does not protect them from life's complex and challenging issues.

□ Yes □ No

Individuals should be trusted to make their own decisions about what to read.

□ Yes □ No

Parents of one family should not be making decisions for other parents' children.

□ Yes □ No

Young people deserve to see themselves reflected in a library's books.

□ Yes □ No

14. If you marked no to any of the above principles, why?

**BY SIGNING, I ATTEST** that I am the petitioner and the responses provided above are true as I verily believe. I understand that:

- Anonymous or unsigned requests will not be considered.
- Upon submission, this form is a public record and my name may be publicly disclosed.
- The library will keep contact information confidential from the general public to the greatest extent allowed by law.
- The library may share this Request for Review with organizations that gather information about such challenges. Personal contact information will not be included.